

Lower FRAX scores but similar femoral neck aBMD in UK dwelling postmenopausal South Asian women as compared with same age Caucasian women A.L. Darling, K.H. Hart, S.A. Lanham-New

Department of Nutritional Sciences, School of Biosciences and Medicine, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Surrey, Guildford GU2 7XH, UK.

INTRODUCTION

• It is unclear whether western dwelling South Asian (SA) postmenopausal women have a different fracture risk to that of the native Caucasian (C) population.

- A recent U.S. study found that South Asian women had a higher prevalence of femoral neck osteoporosis than Caucasian women and a higher incidence of wrist fracture than Chinese women, although they did not differ from White Caucasian women on these measures (Khandewal et al. 2012; Lofthus et al. 2008).
- Moreover, the WHO Fracture Risk Assessment Tool (FRAX) has not been used previously to compare predicted risk of fractures in western dwelling South Asian women with same-age Caucasian women.

AIMS

• The aim of this work was to compare FRAX scores and Femoral Neck areal BMD (FNaBMD) between UK dwelling Caucasian and South Asian women.

METHODS

- This analysis used data from n=35 SA • and n=136 C postmenopausal women (mean [SD] age= 59 [6] years and 61 [5] years respectively) in the D-FINES I study (UK, 2006-2007).
- FRAX score was calculated using FN • aBMD and background demographic

RESULTS

- There was no difference between the two ethnic groups for FN aBMD (P=0.44). However, the 10 year fracture risk (%) was lower in the SA group (using the India tool) than the C group by 50-60% (see table 1, Figure 1) A). As seen in Figure 1B, women's understanding of their own family history of hip fracture had an influence on the results, with an attenuated ethnic difference in fracture risk when those who were unsure were excluded.
- Similar results were obtained when using the UK tool in the SA as when using the India tool, with 28-50% lower fracture risk in SA than in C (Figure 2 A-B), although the SA now had nearly double the predicted risk of major osteoporotic fracture than previously.

REFERENCES

Khandewal S et al. *Bone* 2012;5:1025-8. Lofthus C et al. Osteoporos Int 2008;19:781-6.

online the tools data, at via www.shef.ac.uk/FRAX/.

The SA women were scored on both ulletthe India and UK tools as it was unclear which was likely to be the best epidemiological fit.

FUNDING AND DISCLOSURES

- The D-FINES I study was funded by the UK Food Standards Agency (Project N05064). All views expressed as those of the authors alone and do not constitute government advice.
- ALD AND KHH have no conflict of interest to disclose. SAL-N discloses that she is project director of D3-TEX Ltd

Table 1: FRAX score and arial BMD by ethnic group

Group (Tool) n	C (UK) n=136		SA (India) n=35			SA (UK) n=35	
10 year	Median	IQR	Median	IQR	P*	Median	IQR
Probability:							
O. Fracture %	6.5	4.4	2.5	2.5	< 0.001	4.7 [¥]	2.5
H. Fracture %	0.4	0.8	0.2	0.5	0.006	0.2 [¥]	0.6
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	P≠		
FN aBMD	0.76	0.11	0.77	0.10	0.44	-	-
(g/cm ²)							
LS aBMD	0.94	0.16	0.92	0.14	0.12	-	-
(g/cm ²)							



on UK tool n=35



Figure 2A: FRAX tool: 10 year probability of major osteoporotic or hip fracture-SA women calculated on both Indian and UK tools as well as Caucasian comparison group: all women



Figure 1B: FRAX tool: 10 year probability of major osteoporotic or hip fracture: only women who answered a clear 'no' to parental history of hip fracture.



Figure 2B: FRAX tool: 10 year probability of major osteoporotic or hip fracture-SA women calculated on Indian and UK tools and Caucasian comparison group: only women who answered a clear 'no' to parental history of hip fracture

CONCLUSION

• The reduced FRAX score in the SA group has not been reported previously and may

*Mann Whitney: C (UK) vs. SA (India), O.=Osteoporotic, H.=Hip,, ≠ANCOVA P value for BMI adjusted data. ¥Statistically different from SA (India) and C (UK) using Wilcoxon test (P<0.005). FN= Femoral Neck, LS=Lumbar Spine be due to a variety of lifestyle and medical factors, as well as possible differential reporting of family history of fracture. Further research is warranted with respect to future fracture risk in this ethnic group.

• These data will be helpful in the clinical setting by showing how South Asian fracture risk varies by tool used, as well as by whether South Asian women are able to confidently report family history of fracture or not. It may be the case that a FRAX tool specifically for UK dwelling South Asians is now required.

Vitamin D, Food Intake, Nutrition and Exposure to Sunlight in Southern England